#### NOT FOR PUBLICATION

#### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**FILED** 

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MAR 05 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

GOVERNMENT OF GUAM,

Defendant - Appellant.

Nos. 13-16941 & 13-17089

D.C. No. 1:02-cv-00022

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Guam Frances Tydingco-Gatewood, Chief District Judge, Presiding

> Argued and Submitted February 19, 2015 Honolulu, Hawaii

Before: CLIFTON, N.R. SMITH, and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

The United States brought this civil enforcement action against the Government of Guam under the federal Clean Water Act to end unpermitted discharges from Guam's Ordot Dump landfill. More than ten years into the litigation, nine years after the entry of a Consent Decree providing for closure of

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

Ordot Dump, and six years after the district court appointed a receiver due to Guam's failure to comply with the Consent Decree, the territorial government sought to replace the Guam Office of the Attorney General ("OAG") as its counsel of record with a private law firm, Cabot Mantanona LLP ("the Cabot Firm"). The district court at first denied most of the request, while permitting the Cabot Firm to address the court and represent the territorial government as to certain matters.

Approximately five months later, however, the court granted full substitution of counsel after it became aware of new facts demonstrating a breakdown of communication between the Governor's Office and the OAG.

Guam appeals from the district court's orders partially denying substitution of counsel, including orders entered on May 16, 2013, August 13, 2013, and September 18, 2013. Guam also requests that this Court declare as void all orders issued by the district court during the five-month period between the May 16 partial denial of substitution of counsel and the grant of full substitution in an order entered October 29, 2013. None of those other orders are presently on appeal.

We lack jurisdiction to review the orders related to the partial denial of substitution of counsel because those orders were not final for appellate purposes. These orders, even taken together, do not constitute a "full adjudication of the issues," *Nat'l Distrib. Agency v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co.*, 117 F.3d 432, 433 (9th

Cir. 1997), nor do they "resolve all of the issues in the post-judgment proceedings," *Cordoza v. Pac. States Steel Corp.*, 320 F.3d 989, 996 (9th Cir. 2003). The district court did not completely adjudicate the issue as to whether the OAG should be disqualified from representing Guam. The court left open key questions about attorney-client privilege and the possibility that the OAG had a conflict.

The actual relief sought by Guam in the current appeal is not the substitution of counsel, as that was subsequently obtained in a later order by the district court. Rather, Guam seeks a declaration that all other orders entered by the district court during the five-month interim period are void. The arguments offered by Guam in support of that relief appear very weak, both factually and legally, but we cannot ultimately address them because we lack jurisdiction to review those orders.

The orders that Guam seeks to void are not on appeal before this Court. It does not appear that Guam ever moved the district court to void these orders based on the delay in obtaining the full substitution of counsel. Guam did file appeals from at least some of the disputed orders, but the territorial government voluntarily dismissed those appeals, so those disputed orders are not before us. Generally, when seeking the review of a federal appellate court, a party must file a timely notice of appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 3, and must pursue the appeal thereafter. This

requirement is jurisdictional; without a notice of appeal of a district court's order, this Court lacks jurisdiction to review that order. *Nguyen v. Sw. Leasing & Rental, Inc.*, 282 F.3d 1061, 1064 (9th Cir. 2002). Accordingly, this Court may not review the orders Guam seeks to void because appeals from those orders are not before us.

# APPEAL DISMISSED.

## **United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit**

#### Office of the Clerk

95 Seventh Street San Francisco, CA 94103

## **Information Regarding Judgment and Post-Judgment Proceedings**

## **Judgment**

• This Court has filed and entered the attached judgment in your case. Fed. R. App. P. 36. Please note the filed date on the attached decision because all of the dates described below run from that date, not from the date you receive this notice.

## Mandate (Fed. R. App. P. 41; 9th Cir. R. 41-1 & -2)

• The mandate will issue 7 days after the expiration of the time for filing a petition for rehearing or 7 days from the denial of a petition for rehearing, unless the Court directs otherwise. To file a motion to stay the mandate, file it electronically via the appellate ECF system or, if you are a pro se litigant or an attorney with an exemption from using appellate ECF, file one original motion on paper.

# Petition for Panel Rehearing (Fed. R. App. P. 40; 9th Cir. R. 40-1) Petition for Rehearing En Banc (Fed. R. App. P. 35; 9th Cir. R. 35-1 to -3)

# (1) A. Purpose (Panel Rehearing):

- A party should seek panel rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:
  - ► A material point of fact or law was overlooked in the decision;
  - A change in the law occurred after the case was submitted which appears to have been overlooked by the panel; or
  - An apparent conflict with another decision of the Court was not addressed in the opinion.
- Do not file a petition for panel rehearing merely to reargue the case.

# **B.** Purpose (Rehearing En Banc)

• A party should seek en banc rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:

- ► Consideration by the full Court is necessary to secure or maintain uniformity of the Court's decisions; or
- ► The proceeding involves a question of exceptional importance; or
- The opinion directly conflicts with an existing opinion by another court of appeals or the Supreme Court and substantially affects a rule of national application in which there is an overriding need for national uniformity.

### (2) Deadlines for Filing:

- A petition for rehearing may be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party in a civil case, the time for filing a petition for rehearing is 45 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the mandate has issued, the petition for rehearing should be accompanied by a motion to recall the mandate.
- *See* Advisory Note to 9th Cir. R. 40-1 (petitions must be received on the due date).
- An order to publish a previously unpublished memorandum disposition extends the time to file a petition for rehearing to 14 days after the date of the order of publication or, in all civil cases in which the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party, 45 days after the date of the order of publication. 9th Cir. R. 40-2.

#### (3) Statement of Counsel

• A petition should contain an introduction stating that, in counsel's judgment, one or more of the situations described in the "purpose" section above exist. The points to be raised must be stated clearly.

# (4) Form & Number of Copies (9th Cir. R. 40-1; Fed. R. App. P. 32(c)(2))

- The petition shall not exceed 15 pages unless it complies with the alternative length limitations of 4,200 words or 390 lines of text.
- The petition must be accompanied by a copy of the panel's decision being challenged.
- An answer, when ordered by the Court, shall comply with the same length limitations as the petition.
- If a pro se litigant elects to file a form brief pursuant to Circuit Rule 28-1, a petition for panel rehearing or for rehearing en banc need not comply with Fed. R. App. P. 32.

## Case: 13-16941, 03/05/2015, ID: 9446586, DktEntry: 45-2, Page 3 of 5

- The petition or answer must be accompanied by a Certificate of Compliance found at Form 11, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.
- You may file a petition electronically via the appellate ECF system. No paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise. If you are a pro se litigant or an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, file one original petition on paper. No additional paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise.

## Bill of Costs (Fed. R. App. P. 39, 9th Cir. R. 39-1)

- The Bill of Costs must be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment.
- See Form 10 for additional information, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.

#### **Attorneys Fees**

- Ninth Circuit Rule 39-1 describes the content and due dates for attorneys fees applications.
- All relevant forms are available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms* or by telephoning (415) 355-7806.

#### **Petition for a Writ of Certiorari**

• Please refer to the Rules of the United States Supreme Court at www.supremecourt.gov

# **Counsel Listing in Published Opinions**

- Please check counsel listing on the attached decision.
- If there are any errors in a published <u>opinion</u>, please send a letter **in writing** within 10 days to:
  - ► Thomson Reuters; 610 Opperman Drive; PO Box 64526; St. Paul, MN 55164-0526 (Attn: Jean Green, Senior Publications Coordinator);
  - ▶ and electronically file a copy of the letter via the appellate ECF system by using "File Correspondence to Court," or if you are an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, mail the Court one copy of the letter.

# **United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit**

#### **BILL OF COSTS**

This form is available as a fillable version at: <a href="http://cdn.ca9.uscourts.gov/datastore/uploads/forms/Form%2010%20-%20Bill%20of%20Costs.pdf">http://cdn.ca9.uscourts.gov/datastore/uploads/forms/Form%2010%20-%20Bill%20of%20Costs.pdf</a>.

Note: If you wish to fi service, within 1 late bill of costs U.S.C. § 1920, a	4 days of must be a	the date of ccompanie	entry of judd by a moti	dgment, and in a on showing goo	accordance d cause. P	e with 9th lease refer	Circuit Ru	le 39-1. A	
		v.				9th	Cir. No.		
The Clerk is requested to tax the following costs against:									
Cost Taxable under FRAP 39, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, 9th Cir. R. 39-1	<b>REQUESTED</b> (Each Column Must Be Completed)				ALLOWED (To Be Completed by the Clerk)				
	No. of Docs.	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page*	TOTAL COST	No. of Docs.	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page*	TOTAL COST	
Excerpt of Record			\$	\$			\$	\$	
Opening Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$	
<b>Answering Brief</b>			\$	\$			\$	\$	
Reply Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$	
Other**			\$	\$			\$	\$	

TOTAL: |\$

Attorneys' fees cannot be requested on this form.

TOTAL: |\$

<sup>\*</sup> Costs per page: May not exceed .10 or actual cost, whichever is less. 9th Circuit Rule 39-1.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Other: Any other requests must be accompanied by a statement explaining why the item(s) should be taxed pursuant to 9th Circuit Rule 39-1. Additional items without such supporting statements will not be considered.

# Case: 13-16941, 03/05/2015, ID: 9446586, DktEntry: 45-2, Page 5 of 5 Form 10. Bill of Costs - *Continued*

I,	, swear under penalty of perjury that the services for which costs are taxed
were actually and necessarily performed,	and that the requested costs were actually expended as listed.
Signature	
("s/" plus attorney's name if submitted ele	ectronically)
Date	
Name of Counsel:	
Attorney for:	
(To Be Completed by the Clerk)	
Date	Costs are taxed in the amount of \$
	Clerk of Court
	By: , Deputy Clerk